

BUREAU OF SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT

Date: January 22, 2007

School: Green Cove Springs Junior High

School District: Clay

REQUIREMENTS	PROGRESS TOWARD MEETING REQUIREMENTS Report progress toward meeting accountability requirements in the appropriate cells below
HIGHLY QUALIFIED CERTIFIED ADMINISTRATORS	x No Changes in Administration have taken place since the last report.
HIGHLY QUALIFIED TEACHERS	x No changes in instructional staff have taken place since the last report. There are no instructional vacancies at this time. All teachers are certified and teaching in-field.
SCHOOL MATCH ACTIVITIES	
TEACHER MENTORING ACTIVITIES	Peer teachers have been assigned to all Beginning Teachers and National Board Certified Teachers have been assigned as mentors as well.
EXTENDED LEARNING OPPORTUNITIES	

	(ORF/MAZE)									
	Grade	Baseline	1 st	%	2 nd	%	3 rd	%	Total %	
	Assessed	Data	Progress Report (October)	Change	Progress Report (January)	Change	Progress Report (April)	Change	Change	
	Grade 7									
	% meeting high standards Level 3+	exempt								
READING	Level 2	ORF 119 MAZE 24								
	Level 1	ORF 94 MAZE 17								
	Grade 8									
	% meeting high standards Level 3+	exempt								
	Level 2	ORF 132 MAZE 26								
	Level 1	ORF 103 MAZE 19								
	Grade									
	% meeting high standards Level 3+									

Curriculum Area/Denah	ana anto Mathe							
Curriculum Area/Bench			Tanahar	Anda Drata				
Name of Assessment I		1 st	%	2 nd	%	3 rd	%	T-4-1 0/
Grade	Baseline	•		-		_		Total %
Assessed	Data	Progress	Change	Progress	Change	Progress	Change	Change
		Report (October)		Report (January)		Report (April)		
Grade 7								
% meeting high	61			67	6			
standards Level 3+								
Level 2	19			20	1			
Level 1	20			13	7			
Grade 8								
% meeting high	72			75	3			
standards Level 3+								
Level 2	18			20	2			
Level 1	10			5	5			
Grade								
% meeting high								
standards Level 3+								
Level 2								
Level 1								

MATHEMATICS

Enter narrative here.

The entry level scores on the teacher made test were consistent with the entry level scores of the students from the May, 2006 FCAT. The mid-year results show that students are making progress on the FCAT tested standards for their grade levels. However, it is felt that more consideration needs to be given to evaluating progress of Intensive Math Students.

Type of Faceur Clay M	witos Doos	line wee Ev	maaitam. O	ind Class Music	oo waa Da	raugali (a		
Type of Essay: Clay W								Γ
Grade	Baseline	1 st	%	2 nd	%	3 rd	%	Total %
Assessed	Data	Progress	Change	Progress	Change	Progress	Change	Change
		Report (October)		Report (January)		Report (April)		
Grade 7								
% meeting high standards: Score 3.5+	72			91	19			
Score: 2-3	24			7	17			
Score: NS- 1.5	3			1	2			
Grade 8								
% meeting high standards: Score 3.5+	80			84	4			
Score: 2-3	17			14	3			
Score: NS- 1.5	3			2	1			
Grade								
% meeting high standards: Score 3.5+								
Score: 2-3								
Score: NS- 1.5								

WRITING

Enter narrative here.

When the individual scores were evaluated in the 7th grade there was a 73% increase, a 9% decrease and 17% maintained their score level. When the 8th grade scores were evaluated 41% increase, 27% decrease and 31% maintained. The data reflects what occurred on the district writing prompts. But it is comparing Expository to Persuasive. Several of the language arts teachers express concern about the persuasive prompt. The language arts teachers felt that the persuasive prompt was more difficult for to students to relate to.

	Name of Assessment Used:Teacher made test of SSS tested in grade 8										
	Grade	Baseline	1 st	%	2 nd	%	3 rd	%	Total %		
	Assessed	Data	Progress	Change	Progress	Change	Progress	Change	Change		
			Report (October)		Report (January)		Report (April)		C		
	Grade 7										
	% meeting high standards Level 3+	45			47	2					
	Level 2	25			24	1					
	Level 1	30			29	1					
SCIENCE	Grade 8										
	% meeting high standards Level 3+	48			56	8					
	Level 2	36			28	10					
	Level 1	16			16	0					
	Grade										
	% meeting high standards Level 3+										
	Level 2										
	Level 1										

The %change does not reflect the differences of individual students accurately. The has been an increase in ESOL population that had not had much science in previous grades coupled with the difficulty in understanding the English language, their test scores did not show much improvement, however individual assessments of their knowledge indicates that they have increased their understanding of science.

FCIM Updates			

*Baseline Data: baseline data is compared to current assessment data to calculate changes in student performance. Data used should measure the same skills or benchmarks as assessments given earlier in the school year.

**Comparable Data: using valid and reliable assessment items and administered regularly(monthly or quarterly) by the district or school to the same students, measuring the same benchmarks, using the same test item specifications with the same degree of difficulty.)

Directions for Using the Data Chart

- 1. Insert the curriculum area and/or benchmark assessed.
- 2. Insert the name of the assessment used.
- 3. Insert the grade levels assessed.
- 4. Insert the assessment data in the appropriate column for the reporting period.
- 5. Enter a narrative explaining the data in the space provided under the data table. The space will expand as needed to accommodate the length of the narrative.